

Sensitivity analysis of WRF model PBL schemes in simulating temperature extremes over the Middle-East – North Africa (MENA) region

Athanasios Ntoumos, Panos Hadjinicolaou, George Zittis and Jos Lelieveld



CARE-C



# **Motivation – Research Objectives**

A correct **representation** of the planetary boundary layer (**PBL**) is **critical** to achieve **realistic regional climate simulations**, especially regarding **surface variables**.

In this study:

1. Examine the sensitivity of the performance of the Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) model to the use of three PBL schemes

2. Explore the differences among the WRF simulated temperature and heat extremes resulting from the choice of PBL schemes

3. Reveal the most suitable scheme for the Middle-East - North Africa (MENA) domain





## **WRF – Model Configuration**

WRF version 4.2.1

0.22°(~24km) horizontal resolution, 35 vertical levels

MENA-CORDEX domain, 2000-2010 period

## Lateral Boundary Conditions: ERA – Interim

reanalysis



## **Results – Summer TMAX (JJA)**

#### ERA5 tmax JJA









- Model biases strongly vary according to geographic location
- ACM2 scheme quite warmer than MYJ and YSU (opposite signs in parts of Northern Africa)
- **MYJ** shows strong **biases** in some areas
- Overall, **YSU** scheme shows **better performance**





ACM2 - ERA5 tmax JJA

## **Results – Summer TMIN (JJA)**





-1 0

5

3

8 9

-9

-7 -6 -5 -4 -3



-2

-1 0 1 2 3

4 5 6 7 8 9

- Model biases strongly vary according to geographic location
- Cold biases in many areas
- ACM2 shows the strongest cold biases in north Africa – Arabian peninsula
- Smaller biases in YSU scheme





## **Results – Summer surface specific humidity**

#### ERA5 QVAPOR JJA

#### ACM2 - ERA5 qvapor JJA

- <u>ACM2</u>: **Dry** biases across MENA
- <u>MYJ</u>: overestimates moisture in many parts of MENA
- Notable differences among the 3 schemes in north Africa that can be linked with the differences in TMAX
- MYJ predicts lower
  temperature and more
  moisture possibly due to
  weaker vertical mixing
- Smallest biases in YSU scheme







CARE-C

## **Results – Warmest Day (TXx)**



ERA5 txx



ACM2 - ERA5 txx

\$ 35 30 25 20 15 -20 20 40 60 0 °C -10 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 9 10 12 -7 0 2 1

HYJ - ERA5 txx

-10 -8 -7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12

- WRF simulations overestimate the index in most areas
- <u>ACM2</u>: Warm biases in most areas (especially around the Mediterranean region)
- <u>MYJ:</u>Substantial warm biases in parts of Egypt and the Middle East





## **Results – Warmest Night (TNx)**

#### ERA5 tnx





- WRF simulations produce cold biases in many areas (in contrast with TXx)
- Model biases very dependent on the geographical location

60

60

8 9 10

9 10

8

- <u>ACM2 MYJ:</u> Significant cold biases on some areas
- <u>YSU:</u> Warmer weaker biases on average





## **Conclusions – Further Work**

- Model biases are dependent on geographical location and time of the day (nightime/daytime)
- On average, WRF simulations tend to overestimate TMAX and TXx index and underestimate TMIN and TNx index in many parts of MENA
- > Overall, we can identify the **YSU** as the scheme with the **least bias**
- MYJ scheme overestimates moisture while ACM2 produces the driest and warmest daytime PBL among the 3 schemes
- Cold and moist PBL's can be caused by and underestimation of vertical mixing and entrainment
- Further research should be conducted to confirm the above conclusion (analysis of vertical profiles, estimation of PBL height/fluxes) and reveal the physical causes of model biases





# Thank you !!!